

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/010,468 | 11/08/2001 | Marvin Lewis JR. | 18622.007 4368 | |
| 7590 04/06/2006 EXAMINER | | | | |
| Edward W. R | ilee | BOYD, JENNIFER A | | |
| MACCORD M | IASON PLLC | | | |
| P.O. Box 2974 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Greensboro, NC 27402 | | | 1771 | |
| | | | | |

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/010,468 | LEWIS, MARVIN | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Jennifer A. Boyd | 1771 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ja</u> | nuary 2006. | · | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-15</u> is/are pending in the app | olication. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-15</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/010,468 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The Applicant's Amendments and Accompanying Remarks, filed January 6, 2006, have

been entered and have been carefully considered. Claims 1 and 9 are amended, claims 1 – 7 and

9-15 are pending. In view of Applicant's filed terminal disclaimer, the double patenting

rejection over US 6,698,251 has been withdrawn as set forth in the previous Office Action. In

view of Applicant's arguments regarding the double patenting rejection over US 6,684,46, the

Examiner withdraws the double patenting rejection as set forth in the previous Office Action. In

view of Applicant's amendments omitting the term "inelastic" from the claims, the Examiner

withdraws the 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph rejection as detailed in the previous Office Action. The

invention as currently claimed is not found to be patentable for reasons herein below.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found

in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. Claims 1 and 9 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Deignan

et al. (US 5,768,758). The details of the rejection can be found in paragraph 7 of the previous

Office Action. The rejection is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/010,468 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

4. Claims 2-7 and 10-15 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deignan et al. (US 5,768,758). The details of the rejection can be found in paragraph 8 of the previous Office Action. The rejection is maintained.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed January 6, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Deignan does not teach integrally knitting the base layer and patterned layer. It should be noted that Deignan teaches a raschel crochet knitted fabric comprising two layers (see column 6, lines 45-67). The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same or an obvious variant from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process. *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). The burden has been shifted to the Applicant to show unobvious differences between the claimed product and the prior art product. *In re Marosi*, 218 USPQ 289, 292 (Fed. Cir. 1983). The Applicant notes that if the product of Deignan is integrally knitted that would leave no room for inserting the cord which is integral to the invention of Deignan. The Applicant has not provided evidence to support the argument.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/010,468 Page 4

Art Unit: 1771

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer A. Boyd whose telephone number is 571-272-1473. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday (8:30am - 6:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Yennifer Boyd March 29, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700